

DRAFT

Regarding motion CF 20-1536, ECNANDC requests that more investigation is done regarding the bus shelters, rather than just a Request for Proposal (RFP). Feedback from not only Neighborhood Councils but from local surrounding business being directly affected should be considered. Many constituents are just becoming aware of such proposal/implementation; therefore more time should be allowed prior to the contract finalization.

Some ECNANDC constituents concerns:

- The safety and aesthetic look the digital billboards will give. They feel the digital billboards will become an eyesore, as well as a distraction to drivers.
- What is the maximum time allotted to charge wireless devices? There is concern that it will attract the unhoused population, who frequent adjacent strip malls, or stand-alone convenience stores, which have become spots for prolonged loitering and drinking. How will prolonged loitering be addressed and discouraged?
- Where exactly are the funds for the shelters coming from?
- If supported, can other funds or infrastructure funds be used?
- Concerns over density coming into the neighborhoods.
- Outside from being an outlet to support phones, will there be Wi-Fi connection. 100% in agreement to get Wi-Fi across in the city of Los Angeles.
- Where is the energy to support this suppose to come from? As far as energy sourcing, will it be clean energy?
- Which communities will they prioritize?
- How will the concerns about the turnaround time and cost to repair and remove graffiti be addressed?

The City should conduct more extensive and open public process in which detailed information about STAP, the RFP and contract negotiation process is made widely available and members of direct business/public, neighborhood/community councils, and community leaders are invited to provide ongoing input on community impacts, problems and ridership needs with respect to STAP.

Information regarding any contract that is eventually negotiated with the City respect and abides by Community Plan, Specific Plan, Scenic Highway and Coastal Zone protections and requirements, including in the public right of way, and address' constituents' concerns about preservation of community character, protection of residential neighborhoods, public safety, environmental impacts and privacy infringement; protection for scenic corridors, for local street furniture preferences and for neighborhoods with Specific Plans should be as well be shared with everyone.

ECNANDC wishes for the program to move forward without any misrepresentation to the public and with addressing these crucial concerns outlined above.